

8.114 The width of the small-sample confidence interval is  $2t_{\alpha/2} \left( \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$  and has expected

value  $2t_{\alpha/2} \left[ \frac{E(S)}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$ . From Exercise 8.12,

$$E(S) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) \sqrt{2}\sigma}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}) \sqrt{n-1}}$$

so that

$$E \left( 2t_{\alpha/2} \left( \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) = 2^{3/2} t_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\sqrt{n(n-1)} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}$$

9.2

a. Since  $E(Y_i) = \mu, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then

$$E(\hat{\mu}_1) = \frac{1}{2} (2\mu) = \mu \quad E(\hat{\mu}_2) = \frac{1}{4} \mu + \frac{(n-2)\mu}{2(n-2)} + \frac{1}{4} \mu = \mu \quad E(\hat{\mu}_3) = \frac{n\mu}{n} = \mu$$

b. Further,

$$V(\hat{\mu}_1) = \frac{1}{4} (2\sigma^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \quad V(\hat{\mu}_2) = \frac{2}{16} \sigma^2 + \frac{(n-2)\sigma^2}{4(n-2)^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{8} + \frac{\sigma^2}{4(n-2)} \quad V(\hat{\mu}_3) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Hence the efficiency of  $\hat{\mu}_3$  relative to  $\hat{\mu}_1$  is

$$\frac{V(\hat{\mu}_1)}{V(\hat{\mu}_3)} = \frac{\left( \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right)}{\left( \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right)} = \frac{n}{2}$$

and the efficiency of  $\hat{\mu}_3$  relative to  $\hat{\mu}_2$  is

$$\frac{V(\hat{\mu}_2)}{V(\hat{\mu}_3)} = \frac{\frac{\sigma^2}{8} + \frac{\sigma^2}{4(n-2)}}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}} = \frac{n}{8} + \frac{n}{4(n-2)} = \frac{n^2}{8(n-2)}$$

9.13 Given  $f(y)$ , calculate

$$E(Y) = \frac{\theta}{\theta+1}$$

and

$$E(Y^2) = \frac{\theta}{\theta+2}$$

Thus

$$V(Y) = \frac{\theta}{\theta+2} - \frac{\theta^2}{(\theta+1)^2} = \frac{\theta}{(\theta+2)(\theta+1)^2}$$

Hence,  $E(\bar{Y}) = \frac{\theta}{\theta+1}$ . Since  $\sigma^2 = V(Y)$  is finite, the law of large numbers (Example 9.2) holds, and  $\bar{Y}$  is consistent for  $\frac{\theta}{\theta+1}$ .

9.31

Refer to Definition 9.3. Each  $Y_i$  has a Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda$ ; hence  $\sum Y_i$  has a Poisson distribution with mean  $n\lambda$ . The conditional distribution of  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  given  $\sum Y_i$  is

$$P(Y_1 = y_1, Y_2 = y_2, \dots, Y_n = y_n | \sum Y_i = x) = \frac{p(Y_1=y_1, Y_2=y_2, \dots, Y_n=y_n)}{P(\sum Y_i = x)}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{y_i}}{y_i!}}{\frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum y_i}}{(\sum y_i)!}} = \begin{cases} \frac{(\sum y_i)!}{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i!}, & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = x \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which is independent of  $\lambda$ . Hence  $\sum Y_i$  is sufficient for  $\lambda$ .